

1 **Similar ecology, different morphology: three new species of oral mollusc**
2 **shellers from Lake Edward**

3 Nathan Vranken^{1,2}, Maarten Van Steenberge^{1,2,3} & Jos Snoeks^{1,2}

4

5 ¹Royal Museum for Central Africa, Biology department, Section Vertebrates, Leuvensesteenweg 13,
6 3080 Tervuren, Belgium

7 ²KU Leuven, Ecology, Evolution and Biodiversity Conservation, Charles Deberiotstraat 32, 3000
8 Leuven, Belgium

9 ³Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Operational Directorate Taxonomy and Phylogeny,
10 Vautierstraat 29, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

11

12 Correspondence

13 Nathan Vranken, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Biology department, Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080
14 Tervuren, Belgium.

15 Email: nathan.vranken@africamuseum.be;

16 Tel.: +32 27695632

17

18

ABSTRACT

19 Lake Edward in Eastern Africa harbours a largely understudied assemblage of haplochromine cichlids
20 that displays a range of adaptations to various specialised trophic niches. In this system, we discovered
21 specimens of *Haplochromis* with morphologies similar to those of oral mollusc shellers from Lake
22 Victoria. These morphologies are characterised by short oral jaws with stout teeth that are used either
23 to crush molluscs or to grab the soft bodies of snails and wrench them out of their shells. A
24 morphometric study on 47 specimens from Lake Edward revealed the presence of three new species
25 with an oral shelling morphology, i.e., *H. concilians* sp. nov., *H. erutus* sp. nov., and *H. planus* sp. nov.
26 All three species are formally described. Stomach content observations confirmed an opportunistic
27 oral shelling ecology for *H. concilians* sp. nov. and *H. erutus* sp. nov. Within *H. planus* sp. nov., only

28 large specimens displayed a specialised oral shelling morphology; however, their stomachs were
29 nearly empty, while small specimens consumed mainly Ostracoda and Hydrachnidia. Remarkably, the
30 three species differed considerably in morphology from each other, but they each resembled oral
31 sheller species from Lake Victoria.

32

33 **Key words:**

34 *Hoplotilapia*; Lake Victoria region superflock; *Macropooleurodus*; molluscivory; *Ptyochromis*; taxonomy

35

36

INTRODUCTION

37 Lake Edward is located in Eastern Africa, on the border between Uganda and the Democratic
38 Republic of the Congo (Fig. 1). It is connected to Lake George through the Kazinga Channel. Both
39 lakes harbour a similar ichthyofauna and are treated as a single system: the Lake Edward system.
40 This system is home to a large assemblage of cichlids of the genus *Haplochromis* Hilgendorf 1888,
41 consisting of an estimated 60–100 species (Greenwood, 1991; Vranken *et al.*, 2019a,b). These
42 species are closely related to the species of *Haplochromis* from Lakes Albert, Kivu, Kyoga, and
43 Victoria and associated lakes and river systems, and, together, form the Lake Victoria region
44 superflock (LVRS) (Verheyen *et al.*, 2003; Salzburger *et al.*, 2005). The LVRS is a very young
45 radiation of cichlids that evolved over the last 100 000–200 000 years (Verheyen *et al.*, 2003; Bezault
46 *et al.*, 2011). Its species are genetically closely related, but display a broad range in habitat
47 preference, behaviour, trophic morphology, and colour patterns (Bezault *et al.*, 2011; Brawand *et al.*,
48 2014; Meier *et al.*, 2017).

49

50 Greenwood (1979, 1980) proposed a classification of the LVRS based on supposed synapomorphic
51 traits by reclassifying all species into 20 genera. Yet, the morphological definitions of some of these
52 proposed genera overlapped, and several species could not unambiguously be assigned to one of
53 them (Hoogerhoud, 1983; van Oijen, 1991). Therefore, Greenwood's (1980) classification was not
54 universally accepted (Hoogerhoud, 1983; Snoeks, 1994; van Oijen, 1996). Hence, until more is known
55 about the phylogeny of these species, we follow Hoogerhoud (1984), Snoeks (1994), van Oijen

56 (1996), de Zeeuw *et al.* (2010), and Vranken *et al.* (2019a,b) in retaining all haplochromines of the
57 LVRS in *Haplochromis*. The definitions of most genera *sensu* Greenwood (1980) are largely based on
58 traits associated with the trophic morphologies (e.g., the oral and pharyngeal jaws and dentitions).
59 Hence, a strong link is found between the classification *sensu* Greenwood (1980) and the trophic
60 ecology of these species (Witte & Van Oijen, 1990). Therefore, we will use Greenwood's (1980)
61 classification as a morphological framework that links morphology with ecology in *Haplochromis*.
62 Hence, we will use the genera *sensu* Greenwood (1980) only to describe specific morphologies and
63 mention these genera between single quotation marks to indicate that no nomenclatural value is given
64 to them.

65

66 Molluscivory is a common trophic ecology among East African cichlids. Each of the East African Great
67 lakes, and many of the region's river systems are inhabited by molluscivorous species. Examples
68 include *Trematocranus placodon* Regan 1922 from Lake Malawi, *Haplochromis mylergates*
69 Greenwood & Barel 1978 from Lake Victoria, *Astatoreochromis allaudi* Pellegrin 1904 from lakes and
70 rivers of the Lake Victoria region, and *H. mylodon* Greenwood 1973, *H. pharyngalis* Poll & Damas
71 1939, and *H. placodus* Poll & Damas 1939 from the Lake Edward system. All of these species have
72 hypertrophied pharyngeal jaws with molariform teeth, which are used to crush the shells of molluscs.

73

74 Greenwood (1974) recognised a group of molluscivores with a different morphology in *Haplochromis*:
75 the oral shellers. Oral shellers have short and strong oral jaws with stout teeth that some species may
76 use to crush the shells of molluscs, i.e., oral crushing (Greenwood, 1956, 1974). A mollusc crushing
77 strategy is constrained by prey size as large, thick-shelled molluscs are too hard to crush. Whereas
78 this prevents pharyngeal crushers from consuming large molluscs, these can be consumed by oral
79 shellers by using an alternative technique, i.e., oral shelling (Slootweg, 1987). After approaching a
80 moving snail, oral shellers quickly grasp the soft tissue of the snail with their oral jaws and vigorously
81 jerk their heads to wrench the snail from its shell (Slootweg, 1987; Seehausen, 1996).

82

83 Within the LVRS, oral shellers are hitherto only known from Lake Victoria. From this lake, 23 biological
84 species with this ecology have been recorded, eight of which are formally described (Greenwood,

85 1956, 1957; Seehausen, 1996; Seehausen *et al.*, 1997; Seegers, 2008). These species are
86 morphologically very diverse, which is exemplified by their classification in five genera *sensu*
87 Greenwood (1980), i.e., '*Hoplotilapia*' Hilgendorf 1888, '*Macroleuroodus*' Regan 1922,
88 '*Paralabidochromis*' Greenwood 1956, '*Platytaeniodus*' Boulenger 1906, and '*Ptyochromis*'
89 Greenwood 1980 (Greenwood, 1956; Sloomweg, 1987). Three of these are monospecific.
90 *Haplochromis degeni* Boulenger 1906 and *H. retrodens* Hilgendorf 1888 are the sole species with a
91 '*Platytaeniodus*' and a '*Hoplotilapia*' morphology, respectively. Both species have upper jaws set with
92 broad U-shaped tooth bands. They differ in the lower jaw, which is deep and set with two distinct
93 patches of teeth in the former and shallow with a U-shaped tooth band in the latter. *Haplochromis*
94 *bicolor* Boulenger 1906 is the only known species that has a '*Macroleuroodus*' morphology with
95 strongly curved dentigerous arms of the upper jaw, slender tooth bands, and stout outer teeth with
96 recurved major cusps and labially implanted minor cusps. Remarkably, the distantly related
97 *Chilotilapia rhoadesii* Boulenger 1908 from Lake Malawi has a strikingly similar trophic morphology
98 (Greenwood, 1983), hereby representing a clear example of convergent evolution (Salzburger *et al.*,
99 2005). Four valid species have a '*Ptyochromis*' morphology with thickened lips, the anteriormost parts
100 of the lower jaws curving slightly downwards, and strongly recurved and unicuspid oral teeth set in
101 broad tooth bands that narrow laterally.

102

103 '*Paralabidochromis*' *sensu* Greenwood (1980) contains mostly insectivorous species. However, one
104 species with this morphology is known to have an oral shelling ecology: *Haplochromis plagiodon*
105 Regan & Trewavas 1928 (Witte, 1980). A '*Paralabidochromis*' morphology is characterised by stout
106 and straight teeth, deep lower jaws with the anteriormost outer teeth procumbently implanted, and
107 slender tooth bands. Although *H. plagiodon* displays these characteristics, it deviates from other
108 species with this morphology by its bicuspid outer oral teeth with obliquely truncated major cusps and
109 horizontally orientated minor cusps vs. uni- to weakly bicuspid teeth with equilateral major cusps and
110 upright minor cusps (Greenwood, 1980). Greenwood (1980) interpreted this tooth morphology as
111 intermediate between '*Paralabidochromis*' and '*Macroleuroodus*'. Therefore, a '*Paralabidochromis*'
112 morphology does not imply an oral shelling ecology.

113

114 The *Haplochromis* assemblage of the Lake Edward system has been poorly studied. Hitherto, no
115 species with an oral shelling ecology has been discovered. The aim of this study is to perform a
116 morphometric study of all species of *Haplochromis* from the Lake Edward system with a morphology
117 that suggests an oral shelling ecology, i.e., corresponding morphologically to *H. plagiodon* or one of
118 the following genera *sensu* Greenwood (1980): '*Hoplotilapia*', '*Macropleurodus*', '*Platytaeniodus*', or
119 '*Ptyochromis*'. A taxonomical evaluation is presented.

120

121

MATERIALS AND METHODS

122 Specimens examined

123 A total of 71 specimens from the Lake Edward system were examined, including 46 from the Royal
124 Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren (MRAC) and 25 from the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural
125 Sciences, Brussels (IRSNB), all unidentified except one (IRSNB 12899: *H. labiatus* Trewavas 1933)
126 (see Taxonomic account). Specimens were selected by their morphologies corresponding to *H.*
127 *plagiodon* or the following genera *sensu* Greenwood (1980): '*Hoplotilapia*', '*Macropleurodus*',
128 '*Platytaeniodus*', or '*Ptyochromis*' (see Introduction). Specimens that showed small deviations from the
129 genus descriptions were also incorporated. All specimens were assigned *a priori* to three groups
130 based on oral jaw and outer oral tooth morphologies as described following Barel *et al.* (1976, 1977).
131 Specimens of *H. sp.* 'concilians' (C, n=36; Fig. 2a) had rounded and stout jaws set with straight, stout,
132 and unicuspid teeth, and with the anterior parts of the lower jaws curving downwards. The lower jaws
133 of *H. sp.* 'erutus' (E, n=24; Fig. 2b) were rounded, the upper jaws rectangular with curved dentigerous
134 arms, and both were set with stout and bicuspid teeth. Both jaws of *H. sp.* 'planus' (P, n=13; Fig. 2c)
135 were rectangular and posteriorly enlarged, while the lower jaw was anteriorly flattened; the outer teeth
136 were small and unicuspid anteriorly, while large and stout posteriorly in both jaws. Specimens of the
137 first group displayed '*Ptyochromis*' and '*Paralabidochromis*' characteristics. The latter two had
138 '*Macropleurodus*' and '*Hoplotilapia*' morphologies, respectively. Specimens that resembled *H.*
139 *plagiodon* or that had a '*Platytaeniodus*' morphology were not found within the examined collections.

140

141 Specimens of the following species from Lake Victoria were investigated as comparative material: *H.*
142 *fischeri* Seegers 2008 (MRAC 14870), *H. degeni* (MRAC 91.02.P.1–4), *H. bicolor* (MRAC 81.30.P.9),

143 *H. retrodens* (MRAC 91.16.P.9–10), and *H. crassilabris* Boulenger 1906 (MRAC 14893) with
144 ‘*Ptyochromis*’, ‘*Platytaeniodus*’, ‘*Macroleuroodus*’, ‘*Hoplotilapia*’, and ‘*Paralabidochromis*’
145 morphologies respectively. The holotype of *H. labiatus* (BMNH 1933.2.23.403), which also has a
146 ‘*Paralabidochromis*’ morphology, was examined as well. Additionally, one specimen of *Chilotilapia*
147 *rhoadesii* (MRAC 99.041.P.1776) from Lake Malawi was investigated because of its strong
148 morphological resemblance to a ‘*Macroleuroodus*’ morphology (Greenwood, 1983). Comparisons to all
149 other species of the LVRS were mainly based on data from Greenwood (1979, 1980) and Barel *et al.*
150 (1977).

151

152 **Data collection**

153 A total of 49 morphometric characters were taken on 47 specimens (C: 20, E: 19, P: 8), consisting of
154 28 measurements and 21 counts. Vertebrae were counted on X-ray scans made by the VisiX X-ray
155 system (Medex Loncin SA) with a DeReO WA detector and a GemX-160 generator. All other
156 morphometrics were taken under a binocular microscope (6.5–50x) on the left side of each specimen
157 by a single person to retain consistency. All measurements were taken using dial callipers (± 0.01 mm).
158 Dissection of the lower pharyngeal bone was performed on 12 specimens (C: 4, E: 4, P: 4). All
159 descriptive terminology used in reference to the generalised *Haplochromis* morphology *sensu* Barel *et*
160 *al.* (1976, 1977).

161

162 Twenty-eight measurements were taken as defined by Vranken *et al.* (2019a): standard length (SL);
163 head length (HL); body depth (BD); predorsal- (PrD), preanal- (PrA), prepectoral- (PrP) and prepelvic
164 distance (PrV); pectoral- (PL) and pelvic fin length (VL); dorsal- (DFB) and anal fin base length (AFB);
165 caudal peduncle length (CPL) and depth (CPD); head width (HW); eye diameter (ED); interorbital
166 width (IOW); snout length (SnL); lacrimal depth (LaD); cheek depth (ChD); premaxillary pedicel length
167 (PPL); upper jaw length (UJL); lower jaw length (LJL) and width (LJW); lower pharyngeal length (LPL;
168 $n=12$) and width (LPW; $n=12$); dentigerous area length (DAL; $n=12$) and width (DAW; $n=12$); and gut
169 length (GL; $n=16$). Snout and gape inclinations were measured in degrees using a protractor following
170 the terminology of Barel *et al.* (1977).

171

172 Twenty-one counts were taken as defined by Vranken *et al.* (2019a): upper- (UOT) and lower (LOT)
173 outer teeth; upper and lower inner tooth rows (UTR/LTR); dorsal- (DFR: DFRs/DFRr), anal- (AFR:
174 AFRs/AFRr), and pectoral fin formula (PFR), consisting of spine and branched-ray counts; gill raker
175 formula (GR: GRc/1/GRe), consisting of cerato- and epibranchial gill raker counts; abdominal and
176 caudal vertebrae (V: Va/Vc); longitudinal line scales (LongL); lateral line scales (LatL: LatLu/LatLI),
177 consisting of upper and lower lateral line scale counts; upper- (D-UUL) and lower transverse line
178 scales (ULL-A); scales between pectoral and pelvic fins (P-V); infraorbital and postorbital cheek scales
179 (ChS: ChSi/ChSp); and lower pharyngeal teeth in the posterior row (LPTp; n=12).

180

181 The following qualitative characteristics were described following the terminology of Barel *et al.* (1977):
182 lateral neurocranial outline (observed on X-rays), dorsal head profile, lateral snout outline, maxillary
183 bullation, maxillary posterior extension (in reference to a line perpendicular to the body axis instead of
184 to the anterior margin of the vertical preoperculum limb), caudal fin outline, dentition of the oral and
185 pharyngeal jaws, and colouration in vivo (from pictures) and in alcohol. A distinction was made
186 between terms that refer to positions, e.g., lateral and dorsal, and terms that refer to directions, e.g.,
187 laterad and dorsad, following the terminology proposed by Barel *et al.* (1976). Specimens were sexed
188 by investigating the genital papillae.

189

190 Stomach content observations were performed on 23 specimens (C: 6, E: 7, P: 10). Digestive tracts
191 were removed, stomachs were dissected, and their contents identified and counted under a binocular
192 microscope (6.5–50x).

193

194 **Data analyses**

195 Measurements and counts were analysed separately using principal component analyses (PCA).
196 These were performed on the variance-covariance matrix of the log-transformed measurements, and
197 on correlation matrices of the raw data of the counts (Zelditch *et al.*, 2004). To allow for comparison,
198 all measurements, except for SL, were expressed as percentages of a reference measurement.
199 Possible diagnostic traits were determined by pairwise inter-group comparisons of these proportions of
200 the measurements and of the raw data of the counts by Mann-Whitney *U* (MWU) tests with sequential

201 Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989). For all data, size effects were avoided by performing the MWU
202 tests on subsets of specimens of a similar standard-length class [MWU (SL): $P > 0.5$]. Measurements
203 with fin tips as reference points (i.e., TL, VL, and PL), measurements and counts taken on a subset of
204 the specimens (GL, LPL, LPW, DAL, DAW, and LPTp), and angular measurements (i.e., snout and
205 gape inclinations), were excluded from all analyses. All statistical analyses were performed in Past
206 3.13 (Hammer *et al.*, 2001).

207

208

RESULTS

209 A PCA was performed on the variance-covariance matrix of the log-transformed measurements of all
210 47 specimens (Table S1). The first principal component (PC 1; 69.7% of variance) had large, positive
211 loadings of similar magnitude for all variables, and hence was interpreted as a variable describing size
212 (Zelditch *et al.*, 2004). On PC 2 (10.7% of variance), PPL and UJL, followed by ChD and IOW had the
213 highest absolute loadings, while LJW, PPL, IOW, and UJL were important contributors to PC 3 (8.5%
214 of variance). In a plot of PC 3 against PC 2, three distinct clusters could be observed (Fig. 3a), each
215 formed by all specimens of the three *a priori* defined groups. All specimens of *H. sp.* 'erutus' had
216 positive values on PC 3, while these of *H. sp.* 'concilians' and *H. sp.* 'planus' all had negative values.
217 The latter two clusters were completely separated on PC 2, with the groups situated completely in its
218 negative and positive parts respectively. Both PC 2 and PC 3 were also plotted against PC 1 as a
219 multivariate proxy of size, which confirmed that these separations were not due to allometric effects
220 (not shown).

221

222 A PCA was performed on the correlation matrix of the raw data of the counts (Table S2). All dental
223 characters (i.e., UOT, LOT, UTR, and LTR), followed by Vc, were important contributors to PC 1
224 (15.1% of variance), whereas ULL-A was the major variable for PC 2 (11.9% of variance). All
225 specimens of *H. sp.* 'planus' formed a cluster with high positive values on PC 1 (Fig. 3b), while
226 specimens of *H. sp.* 'erutus' and *H. sp.* 'concilians' overlapped completely in the negative side of PC 1.
227 No further patterns could be observed in subsequent axes. An additional PCA was conducted,
228 restricted to counts that were directly linked to trophic morphology: the tooth counts (Table S3). All
229 variables had large, positive loadings on PC 1 (61.9% of variance), whereas the number of teeth

230 contributed positively, and the number of teeth rows negatively on PC 2 (18.4% of variance). On a plot
231 of the first two PCs, the three *a priori* defined groups were almost fully separated (Fig. 3c). All
232 specimens of *H. sp.* 'planus' clustered in the positive part of PC 1, while all specimens of *H. sp.*
233 'erutus' and *H. sp.* 'concilians' had mainly negative, overlapping scores for PC 1. Yet, the latter two
234 groups were almost completely separated on PC 2, with specimens of *H. sp.* 'erutus' having mainly
235 positive scores, while all specimens of *H. sp.* 'concilians' had negative values.

236

237 The MWU tests revealed several variables that differed significantly between the *a priori* formed
238 groups (Table S4). *Haplochromis sp.* 'concilians' differed highly significantly ($P < 0.01$) from *H. sp.*
239 'erutus' by HW, SnL, ChD, PPL, LJW, and LOT, while they differed completely in LPL and DAL (not
240 tested by MWU) (Table 1). *Haplochromis sp.* 'concilians' and *H. sp.* 'planus' were significantly different
241 ($P < 0.05$) in DFB, HW, UJL, LJW, UTR, PPL, ChD, IOW, UOT, and LOT, and they were fully
242 separated in the latter five and LPL (not tested by MWU). A comparison between *H. sp.* 'erutus' and *H.*
243 *sp.* 'planus' revealed a highly significant difference in UTR and significant differences in LaD, IOW,
244 UJL, and UOT. The latter three, UTR, and DAL (not tested by MWU) were revealed as non-
245 overlapping characteristics.

246

247 The above results confirmed the distinction between all three *a priori* defined groups. Comparisons to
248 the comparative material and the literature revealed that these constitute hitherto undescribed species
249 (see Differential diagnoses below). Hence, *H. concilians sp. nov.*, *H. erutus sp. nov.*, and *H. planus sp.*
250 *nov.* are formally described in taxonomic account. For each species, the proportions of the
251 measurements and the raw data of the counts are summarised in Table 1.

252

253

TAXONOMIC ACCOUNT

254 ***HAPLOCHROMIS CONCILIANS SP. NOV.***

255 (Figs. 2a, 4, 5, and 6; Table 1)

256

257 *Holotype*

258 MRAC 2017.006.P.0063; Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S 29°45'28.8"E; 30/03/2017 [♂
259 76.0 mm SL].

260

261 *Paratypes*

262 MRAC 2016.035.P.0050; Lake Edward, sand substrate, 1 km east of Nyamugasani river: 0°10'22.8"S
263 29°50'13.2"E; 28/10/2016 [n=1; 70.8 mm SL]. MRAC 2016.035.P.0051–52; 'Coral Reef', hard
264 substrate at mouth of Nyamugasani river, Lake Edward: 0°10'08.4"S 29°49'37.2"E; 21/10/2016 [n=2;
265 74.8, 75.4 mm SL]. MRAC 2017.006.P.0064–73; Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S
266 29°45'28.8"E; 30/03/2017 [n=10; 64.4–76.9 mm SL]. MRAC 2017.006.P.0074; Kayanja offshore, Lake
267 Edward: 0°05'34.8"S 29°45'28.8"E; 31/03/2017 [n=2; 74.5, 77.2 mm SL]. MRAC 2018.008.P.0107–
268 108; Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S 29°45'28.8"E; 20/01/2018 [n=2; 65.0, 60.4 mm SL].
269 MRAC 2018.008.P.0109–110; Rwenshama, offshore, Lake Edward: 0°24'14.4"S 29°45'57.0"E;
270 24/01/2018 [n=2; 67.1, 83.1 mm SL]. RBINS 903–918; Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S
271 29°45'28.8"E; 21/03/2019 [n=16; 62.0–72.8 mm SL; no morphometrics taken].

272

273 *Differential diagnosis*

274 *Haplochromis concilians* sp. nov. differs from all species of *Haplochromis* by skin covering snout
275 thickened and usually (in ca. 80% of specimens) merged with upper lip, by which snout and lip form an
276 uninterrupted surface, and anterior outer teeth in lower jaw located distinctly buccally, hereby leaving
277 labialmost part of lower jaw edentulous. Within Lake Edward system, it shares with *H. erutus* sp. nov.,
278 *H. planus* sp. nov., *H. eduardianus* (n=8), and *H. labiatus* (n=46) short and stout jaws and large or
279 more strongly developed lips. It differs from all by a shorter premaxillary pedicel [16.1–21.6 (19.1) vs.
280 21.4–24.0 (22.6), 21.9–24.4 (23.0), 21.8–26.4 (23.1), and 24.0–27.6 (25.6) % HL, respectively], and
281 hypertrophied pharyngeal jaws set with molariform teeth vs. more slender jaws set with, at most, stout
282 teeth. It differs further from *H. erutus* sp. nov. by straight and unicuspid vs. recurved and bicuspid
283 outer teeth. It differs from *H. eduardianus* by mostly pro- vs. retrognathous jaws, and straight and
284 conical vs. recurved and spoon-shaped outer teeth. It differs from *H. labiatus* by a steeper head (60–
285 75° vs. 40–55°), mostly pro- vs. iso- to retrognathous jaws, and anteriormost outer teeth in lower jaw
286 implanted upright vs. procumbent.

287

288 *Etymology*

289 Specific name noun in apposition from Latin ‘conciliāre’, to join or knit together, in reference to the part
290 of the skin covering the snout that is fused with the upper lip.

291

292 *Description*

293 Small species (< 83.1 mm SL) with a relatively slender body. Head blunt and strongly convex, snout
294 short and steep, sloping at 60–75°, eyes relatively large, and cheeks deep. Jaws short, nearly
295 horizontal with a gape inclination of 10–20°, and pro- to rarely isognathous. Maxilla extends to region
296 between anterior margin of orbit and pupil. Dentary, premaxilla, and maxilla thickened and stout;
297 dentary deep over entire length, and with anteriormost part slightly curving downwards. Premaxilla
298 weakly protrusible; premaxillary pedicel short and at an angle of 90–115° to dentigerous arms.
299 Ventralmost part of maxilla over entire length uncovered by lacrimal bone when mouth closed. Snout
300 tissue above upper lip thickened and, in ca. 80% of specimens, fused with upper lip to form an
301 uninterrupted surface. Lips and oral mucosa strongly thickened, thereby often concealing the
302 prognathous lower jaw. Neurocranium with strongly compressed anterior part and a wedge-shaped
303 supraoccipital crest (Fig. 5b). Chest scales small; size transition to flank scales gradual.

304

305 Outer oral teeth large, stout, and blunt. Necks long, cylindrical, and straight. Crowns in large
306 specimens (> 65 mm SL) unicuspid with rarely some (weakly) bicuspid teeth posteriorly. In small
307 specimens (< 65 mm SL) crowns (weakly) bicuspid. Major cusps conical, stout, and blunt. If present,
308 minor cusps small, stout, and upright. Dental arcades long and more broadly curved in upper than in
309 lower jaw. Relatively few outer teeth with straight implantations, deeply embedded in the oral mucosa,
310 closely set with an inter-tooth distance of half a tooth width, and outer teeth strongly decrease in size
311 posteriad; posteriormost outer teeth very small in both jaws. In lower jaw, anterior outer teeth located
312 distinctly buccally, thereby leaving anteriormost part of lower jaw edentulous, and lower lip seems to
313 extend relatively deep into buccal cavity. Tooth bands in both jaws crescent shaped, anteriorly with 2–
314 3 rows of inner teeth, well separated from outer rows by distances of 1–2 outer tooth widths. Inner
315 tooth rows abruptly narrow posterolaterally; as such only outer rows remain over posterior quarters in

316 both jaws. Inner teeth relatively large, stout, and blunt; anteriormost inner teeth unicuspid, more
317 posterior inner teeth small and tricuspid.

318

319 Lower pharyngeal bone broad, stout, deep, and with keel slightly deeper than posterior part of bone
320 (Fig. 6). Teeth set in 19–22 rows (n=4), four medial tooth rows with molariform teeth, all other teeth
321 stout with blunt major cusps and reduced to absent minor cusps, except for slender postero-
322 lateralmost teeth.

323

324 Gill rakers on outer row of first gill arch short, stout, unifid, and triangular.

325

326 Caudal fin weakly emarginate. Dorsal and anal fins reach level between caudal fin base and one scale
327 anterior to this point. Pectoral fins reach level between anus and first anal fin spine; pelvic fins
328 between second spine and first branched ray of anal fin in males, between anus and third anal fin
329 spine in females.

330

331 *Colouration in vivo*

332 Dominant males (> 70 mm SL): body silver-blue, ventral part of body dusky turquoise, except chest
333 whitish (Fig. 5c). Flank with 4–6 vertical stripes, 2–3 anteriormost stripes dark and extend to dorsal
334 part of body, remaining stripes iridescent turquoise and fainter. An interrupted and faint mid-lateral
335 stripe may be present on flank. Head pale turquoise, snout light blue, dorsal part of head with a purple
336 sheen, and branchiostegal rays black. Nostril-, interorbital-, supraorbital-, and lacrimal stripes, and a
337 nape band well-defined. Dorsal fin hyaline and with a dusky base, crimson lappets (i.e., extensions of
338 membrane between spines), and a crimson and maculated posterior part. Caudal and anal fins
339 crimson, dorsal fin with a maculated posterior part, anal fin with a black posterior margin and 2–3 large
340 orange egg spots that each span two fin rays. Pelvic fins black and pectoral fins hyaline.

341

342 Females and juveniles: flanks faint beige-gold; ventral part of body beige-white; snout and dorsal part
343 of head grey with a slight blue hue (Fig. 5d). In some specimens 4–6 faint stripes on flanks. Caudal fin

344 dusky with hints of yellow, orange, or crimson; dorsal fin dusky with black lappets. Dorsal part of
345 caudal fin and posterior part of dorsal fin maculated. Pelvic-, pectoral-, and anal fins hyaline, anal fin
346 with 2–3 small yellow spots resembling egg spots.

347

348 *Colouration in alcohol*

349 Body brown dorsally, grey ventrally. Nostril-, interorbital-, supraorbital-, and lacrimal stripes, and a
350 nape band distinct and clearly delineated (Fig. 5a). Flanks with 4–6 well-defined vertical stripes in
351 dominant males, faint to absent in females and juveniles. Dorsal fin dusky and with a dark base and
352 dark lappets in anterior part. Posterior part of dorsal fin and, in some specimens, dorsal part of caudal
353 fin maculated. Pectoral-, anal-, and caudal fins yellowish, anal fin in dominant males with dark
354 posterior margin and 2–3 large egg spots. Pelvic fins black in dominant males, yellowish in females.

355

356 *Distribution and ecology*

357 Endemic to Lake Edward. Gut short (GL 72–139 % SL, n=4), diet mainly molluscivorous. We
358 examined the gut contents of six specimens. Four were dominated by remains of Gastropoda,
359 consisting of opercula (2–4 mm) and small complete snails (shells 2–4 mm). Two guts had no remains
360 of Gastropoda, but one contained a small fish and one was empty. All five filled guts also contained
361 some sand or gravel and various small Arthropoda, Ostracoda, and/or Hydrachnidia.

362

363 *HAPLOCHROMIS ERUTUS* SP. NOV.

364 (Figs. 2b, 7, 8, and 9; Table 1)

365

366 *Holotype*

367 MRCA 2018.008.P.0111; Kagoro fishing ground, open water, Lake Edward: 0°12'50.1"S 29°49'19.7"E;
368 4/02/2018 [♂ 73.9 mm SL].

369

370 *Paratype*

371 IRSNB 12899; Lac Edouard: Baie de Kasindi: 0°04'35"S 29°42'31"E; Miss. KEA; 2/06/1953 [n=1;
372 66.97 mm SL]. MRAC 2016.035.P.0053; Lake Edward, sand substrate, 1 km east of Nyamugasani
373 river: 0°10'22.8"S 29°50'13.2"E; 22/10/2016 [n=1; 73.0 mm SL]. MRAC 2017.006.P.0077–78;
374 Rwenshama, rocky shore, Lake Edward: 0°24'05.7"S 29°46'35.1"E; 26/03/2017 [n=2; 65.8, 72.1 mm
375 SL]. MRAC 2017.006.P.0079; Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S 29°45'28.8"E; 30/03/2017
376 [n=1; 80.1 mm SL]. MRAC 2018.008.P.0112–113; Kayanja, offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'31.2"S
377 29°45'30.3"E; 20/01/2018 [n=2; 62.4, 63.2 mm SL]. MRAC 2018.008.P.0114–0119; Rwenshama,
378 offshore, Lake Edward: 0°24'14.4"S 29°45'57.0"E; 24/01/2018 [n=6; 64.7–77.7 mm SL]. MRCA
379 2018.008.P.0120–124; Kagoro fishing ground, open water, Lake Edward: 0°12'50.1"S 29°49'19.7"E;
380 4/02/2018 [n=5; 72.9–83.4 mm SL]. RBINS 895–897; Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S
381 29°45'28.8"E; 21/03/2019 [n=3; 62.3–65.1 mm SL; no morphometrics taken].

382

383 *Etymology*

384 Specific name noun in apposition from Latin 'erutus', torn out. Refers to its oral shelling ecology, in
385 which soft bodies of snails are wrenched or torn out of their shells.

386

387 *Differential diagnosis*

388 *Haplochromis erutus* sp. nov. differs from all other species of *Haplochromis*, except *H. bicolor* from
389 Lake Victoria, by a small and rectangular mouth with a short upper jaw that curves downwards halfway
390 its length, in combination with blunt, bicuspid, and recurved outer oral teeth and, in lateral part of upper
391 jaw, more stout inner teeth (mostly more distinct in dextral side). It differs from *H. bicolor* (data taken
392 from Greenwood, 1956) by much larger eyes [35.4–40.7 (38.1) vs. 21.4–32.0 (27.0) % HL], slightly
393 more slender interorbital width [22.5–26.1 (24.1) vs. 25.8–34.5 (30.8) % HL], and slightly shorter snout
394 [23.2–27.1 (25.7) vs. 26.5–34.2 (31.5) % HL]. Differs further by a shallower supraoccipital crest,
395 dominant males with beige-silver vs. red flanks, and piebald colouration seemingly absent in *H. erutus*
396 sp. nov., while frequently observed in females of *H. bicolor*.

397 Within Lake Edward system, it shares with *H. concilians* sp. nov., *H. labiatus*, *H. eduardianus*, and *H.*
398 *planus* sp. nov. stout jaws and large or more strongly developed lips. It differs from *H. labiatus* (n=46)
399 by a shorter snout [22.4–25.4 (23.9) vs. 26.5–30.2 (28.1) % HL] and large vs. lobed lips, from *H.*

400 *eduardianus* (n=8) and *H. planus* sp. nov. by a shorter upper jaw [20.9–26.0 (24.1) vs. 27.5–33.8
401 (31.3) and 27.6–32.1 (30.8) % HL, respectively], and from *H. concilians* sp. nov. by large vs. strongly
402 thickened lips, a longer premaxillary pedicel [21.4–24.0 (22.6) vs. 16.1–21.6 (19.1) % HL], and beige-
403 silver vs. silver-blue dominant males.

404

405 *Description*

406 Small species (< 83.4 mm SL) with a relatively slender body. Head short and convex, snout short,
407 steep, and sloping at 55–70°, and eyes large and almost entering the dorsal outline of head. Jaws
408 isognathous and with a rectangular outline and a gentle gape inclination of 20–35°. Maxilla extends to
409 anterior margin of orbit. Lower jaw short, stout, deep posteriorly, and more shallow anteriorly. Upper
410 jaw short and with dentigerous arms that curve gently downwards halfway their lengths, mostly more
411 distinct in dextral part of jaw. Lips and oral mucosa large and lateral parts of lower lip expand laterad,
412 hereby accentuating rectangular outline of jaws. Opercular breathing valves large (i.e., clearly visible
413 posterior of operculum). Neurocranium slightly compressed anteriorly and with a relatively low and
414 wedge-shaped supraoccipital crest (Fig. 8b). Chest scales small; size transition to flank scales
415 gradual.

416

417 Outer teeth large and relatively few in both jaws. Necks stout, cylindrical and slightly inclined mediad
418 (i.e., pointing towards adjacent teeth in same row). Crowns stout, bicuspid, and often slightly abraded.
419 Major cusps large, blunt, truncated, and recurved; minor cusps stout and blunt. Outer teeth of large
420 specimens (> 70 mm SL) with strongly recurved and slightly protracted major cusps, and reduced and
421 labially implanted minor cusps. Dental arcades rectangular in upper jaw, rounded in lower jaw (in
422 contrast to rectangular outline of lower lip). Dental arcades long, outer teeth closely set with crowns
423 touching each other. Outer teeth decrease in size posteriad in the lower jaw, while all teeth in upper
424 jaw equally large. Inner teeth stout, relatively small, and tricuspid. In lateral part of upper jaw (i.e.,
425 where jaw curves strongest), inner teeth more densely set, larger, bi- to tricuspid, and more similar in
426 form to outer teeth (mostly more distinct in dextral part of tooth band). Tooth bands slender with 1–2
427 rows of inner teeth on 1–2 outer tooth widths from outer row. In upper jaw, outer and one inner row

428 remain in posteriormost part; in lower jaw, inner rows narrow gradually posteriad, only outer row
429 remains over posterior quarter.

430

431 Lower pharyngeal bone slightly longer than broad and equally deep over entire length (Fig. 9).
432 Pharyngeal teeth slender, major cusps acute, minor cusps reduced to absent, and cusp gaps concave.
433 Teeth in posteriormost transversal row stout, and with blunt major cusps and distinct, small, and
434 horizontally inclined minor cusps. Teeth set in 23–26 rows (n=4); two median rows set with more stout
435 teeth, no molariform teeth.

436

437 Gill rakers on outer row of first gill arch short, stout, unifid, and triangular. No rakers on anteriormost
438 part of ceratobranchial.

439

440 Caudal fin emarginate. Dorsal and anal fins reach level between two scales anterior to and one scale
441 posterior to caudal fin base. Pelvic fins reach level between first and second anal fin spine; pectoral
442 fins between one scale anterior to anus and first anal fin spine.

443

444 *Colouration in vivo*

445 Dominant males (> 73 mm SL): body beige-silver; cheeks and ventral half of body whitish (Fig. 8c).
446 Flanks with 3–4 faint vertical stripes; caudal peduncle with faint mid-lateral stripe. Nostril-, interorbital-,
447 lacrimal-, supraorbital-, and vertical preopercular stripes, and a nape band present. Dorsal fin dusky
448 with anteriorly black and posteriorly red lappets; anal fin yellow with dusky base and two large orange
449 egg spots (i.e., size of twice distance between rays). Caudal fin red with dark base, pectoral fins
450 hyaline, and pelvic fins black. Posterior part of dorsal fin and dorsal part of caudal fin maculated.

451

452 Females and juveniles: body beige-silver; belly and cheeks whitish (Fig. 8d). Flanks with 4–5 faint
453 vertical stripes and a faint mid-lateral stripe. Dorsal fin dusky, pectoral and pelvic fins hyaline, anal and
454 caudal fins yellow, and anal fin with two small yellow-orange spots resembling egg-spots.

455

456 *Colouration in alcohol*

457 Body dorsally brown-yellow and ventrally grey-yellow (Fig. 8a). Flanks of dominant males with 2–5
458 faint vertical stripes and faint mid-lateral stripe, while all stripes absent or faint in females. Dominant
459 males with nostril-, interorbital-, lacrimal-, supraorbital-, and vertical preopercular stripes, and a nape
460 band. Dorsal fin dusky and with dark lappets and weakly maculated posterior part. Caudal fin ventrally
461 hyaline-yellow, while dorsally dusky and weakly maculated. Pectoral and anal fins hyaline; anal fin with
462 two faint egg-spots in dominant males. Pelvic fins black in dominant males and hyaline in females.

463

464 *Distribution and ecology*

465 Endemic to Lake Edward. Gut short (GL 119–153 % SL, n=5), diet mainly molluscivorous. Guts of
466 seven specimens examined; four guts dominated by remains of Gastropoda, consisting of isolated
467 snail tissues, opercula (2–5 mm), and small complete snails (shells 1.5–3.5 mm), each of these guts
468 also contained 100–500 small Chironomidae larvae. Guts of two specimens only contained few
469 Chironomidae pupae, Hydrachnidia, and/or Ostracoda, and one gut was empty. Small amounts of
470 sand were occasionally found.

471

472

473 *HAPLOCHROMIS PLANUS* SP. NOV.

474 (Figs. 2c, 10, 11, and 12; Table 1)

475

476 *Holotype*

477 MRAC 2017.006.P.0060; Rwenshama, rocky shore, Lake Edward: 0°24'05.7"S 29°46'35.1"E;
478 26/03/2017 [♂ 76.6 mm SL].

479

480 *Paratype*

481 MRAC 2017.006.P.0059; Mouth of Kazinga Channel, hard substrate, Lake Edward: 0°12'14.4"S
482 29°52'37.2"E; 23/03/2017 [n=1; 60.4 mm SL]. MRAC 2017.006.P.0061; Rwenshama, rocky shore,
483 Lake Edward: 0°24'05.7"S 29°46'35.1"E; 26/03/2017 [n=1; 82.0 mm SL]. MRAC 2017.006.P.0062;
484 Kayanja offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S 29°45'28.8"E; 25/03/2017 [n=1; 60.0 mm SL]. MRAC
485 2018.008.P.0103; Kayanja, offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'31.2"S 29°45'30.3"E; 20/01/2018 [n=1; 67.4
486 mm SL]. MRAC 2018.008.P.0104; Kayanja, offshore, Lake Edward: 0°05'31.2"S 29°45'30.3"E;
487 21/01/2018 [n=1; 61.8 mm SL]. MRAC 2018.008.P.0105–106; Rwenshama, offshore, Lake Edward:
488 0°24'14.4"S 29°45'57.0"E; 24/01/2018 [n=2; 64.6, 71.0 mm SL]. RBINS 898–902; Kayanja offshore,
489 Lake Edward: 0°05'34.8"S 29°45'28.8"E; 21/03/2019 [n=5; 58.0–62.7 mm SL; no morphometrics
490 taken].

491

492 *Etymology*

493 Specific name from Latin 'planus', flat. Refers to its flat, shovel-like lower jaw.

494

495 *Differential diagnosis*

496 *Haplochromis planus* sp. nov. differs from all other species of *Haplochromis*, except *H. retrodens* from
497 Lake Victoria, by a shallow, broad, and rectangular lower jaw with ascending arms steeply sloping
498 upwards, enlarged, and distinctly visible intrabuccally. Oral tooth bands U-shaped and, in large
499 specimens (> 75 mm SL), set posteriorly with large and stout inner and outer teeth, especially in upper
500 jaw. All oral mucosa in lower jaw at same height and oral breathing valves strongly reduced to narrow,
501 rectangular skin folds. Distinct from *H. retrodens* (data taken from Greenwood, 1956) by larger eyes
502 [35.7–41.6 (38.2) vs. 23.8–29.6 (26.9) % HL], correlated shorter snout [24.6–28.8 (26.1) vs. 29.0–36.8
503 (32.5) % HL] and more slender interorbital width [19.1–22.1 (20.4) vs. 24.2–33.8 (28.7) % HL], and
504 dominant males with scarlet to red vs. dark grey-green flanks.

505 It differs from *H. concilians* sp. nov. and *H. erutus* sp. nov. by a shallow vs. deep lower jaw, a more
506 narrow interorbital region [19.1–22.1 (20.4) vs. 22.5–26.4 (24.2) and 23.4–27.2 (25.1) % HL,
507 respectively], and dominant males with scarlet to red vs. blue-silver and silver-beige flanks,
508 respectively. It differs further from *H. concilians* sp. nov. by a longer premaxillary pedicel [21.9–24.4

509 (23.0) vs. 16.1–21.6 (19.1) % HL] and from *H. erutus* sp. nov. by a longer upper jaw [27.6–32.1 (30.8)
510 vs. 20.9–26.0 (24.1) % HL].

511

512 *Description*

513 Small species (< 82.0 mm SL) with a relatively slender body. Head straight to slightly convex, snout
514 relatively acute and sloping at 40–55°. Eye large, interorbital distance small, and jaws broad,
515 isognathous, and with a gape inclination of 15–25°. Upper jaw enlarged posteriorly, and maxilla
516 extends to just past anterior margin of pupil. Lower jaw very shallow anteriorly and laterally, with a
517 square outline and ventral margin of dentigerous arm horizontal in rostral view, hereby jaw somewhat
518 resembles a shovel. Ascending arm of lower jaw (i.e., coronoid wing) steeply sloping upwards and
519 enlarged (i.e., clearly visible intrabuccal). In lower jaw, intrabuccal oral mucosa at same height as
520 dentigerous area of jaw and oral breathing valve reduced to a narrow and rectangular skin fold. Lips
521 and oral mucosa large. Neurocranium generalised with a low and convex occipital crest (Fig. 11b).
522 Chest scales small; size transition to flank scales gradual.

523

524 Outer teeth small, with slender and slightly recurved necks, and broad and strongly recurved crowns.
525 In small specimens (< 65 mm SL): crowns bicuspid; major cusps short and isocline while bearing a
526 small flange to obliquely truncated; minor cusps stout, large, and horizontally inclined. In large
527 specimens (> 70 mm SL): crowns uni- to bicuspid and major cusps isocline, broad, relatively short,
528 and bluntly pointed; minor cusps small, if present. Dental arcades broad and with square outlines.
529 Outer teeth closely set with an inter-tooth distance of 0.5–1 outer tooth width; in anterior part of lower
530 jaw, outer teeth implanted procumbently in anterior margin of bone. Inner teeth small, tricuspid, and
531 set in 2–4 rows without a distinct gap between inner and outer rows. Dental arcades long in upper jaw
532 with 1–4 posteriormost outer teeth large, stout, unicuspid, and buccally orientated; mostly short in
533 lower jaw, reaching to before ascending arm, although, in large specimens (> 70 mm SL), 1–3 stout
534 outer teeth may occur halfway on ascending arm. Tooth bands in both jaws narrow posteriad, until
535 only outer row remains over posterior quarters. In large specimens (< 70 mm SL), outer and one inner
536 row remains posteriorly, and posteriormost part of upper jaw set with inner teeth equal in size and form
537 to the outer teeth (i.e., unicuspid, large, and stout).

538

539 Caudal fin emarginate. Dorsal and anal fins reach level between caudal fin base and two scales
540 anterior to this point. Pectoral fins reach level between genital opening and anal fin; pelvic fins
541 between first spine and first branched ray of anal fin in males, between genital opening and anal fin in
542 females.

543

544 Gill rakers on the outer row of the first gill arch small, unifid, and stout. Posteriormost ceratobranchial
545 rakers can be bifid.

546

547 Lower pharyngeal bone relatively fine, short, broad, shallow posteriorly, and with a slightly deeper keel
548 (Fig. 12). All pharyngeal teeth slender, with concave major cusp gaps, small but distinct minor cusps,
549 and often cusp protuberances. Teeth set in 25–32 rows (n=4), teeth in median two rows at most
550 slightly stouter. Teeth in posteriormost row with blunt major cusps, straight major cusp gaps, and
551 distinct but small minor cusps.

552

553 *Colouration in vivo*

554 Dominant males (> 58 mm SL): flanks and dorsal part of head scarlet to red, chest white, snout bright
555 blue (Fig. 11c). Cheeks and opercula orange-red; dorsal part of head and anterior half of flanks scarlet
556 to red; branchiostegal rays black. Flanks of dominant males sometimes with 4–5 blueish vertical
557 stripes. Pelvic fins black; dorsal and caudal fins orange-red, and lappets (i.e., extensions of
558 membranes between spines) of dorsal fin black anteriorly and red posteriorly. Pectoral and anal fins
559 hyaline, anal fin with 2–3 large yellow egg spots, each spanning two fin rays. Lacrimal-, preorbital-,
560 and supraorbital stripes and a vague nape band present.

561

562 Females and juveniles: body whitish, dorsally with a greenish sheen, snout dusky (Fig. 11d). Pelvic-,
563 anal-, and ventral part of caudal fin yellow; dorsal part of caudal fin dusky; anal fin with 2–3 small spots
564 resembling egg spots. Dorsal fin dusky and pectoral fins hyaline.

565

566 *Colouration in alcohol*

567 Body dorsally brown-yellow, ventrally brown-white, and branchiostegal membrane black (Fig. 11a).
568 Lacrimal stripes and a nape band present in dominant males, while faint in females; nostril-,
569 interorbital-, and vertical preopercular stripes faint in all specimens. flanks of dominant males with 4–5
570 faint vertical stripes. All fins hyaline, except dorsal and caudal fins dusky, and dominant males with
571 pelvic fins black and anal fin with dusky posterior margin and 2–3 large egg spots. Anterior part of
572 dorsal fin with black lappets in all specimens.

573

574 *Distribution and ecology*

575 Endemic to Lake Edward. Gut short (GL 113–170 % SL, n= 7). Gut contents of ten specimens (60.4–
576 82.0 mm SL) examined; six guts dominated by Ostracoda and/or Hydrachnidia, while three were
577 nearly empty. All these guts contained few Arthropoda (i.e., mainly Heteroptera or Diptera larvae),
578 small amounts of sand, and some detritus. Remaining gut completely empty.

579

580

DISCUSSION

581 All three species, *H. concilians* sp. nov., *H. erutus* sp. nov., and *H. planus* sp. nov., differ strongly in
582 their habitus from all other described species from the Lake Edward system. Although they also differ
583 from each other, all show clear morphological similarities to oral mollusc shelling species from Lake
584 Victoria. Therefore, the three newly discovered species were compared to the species from Lake
585 Victoria, taking into account the definitions of the corresponding genera *sensu* Greenwood (1980).

586

587 *Haplochromis concilians* sp. nov. shows characteristics of '*Paralabidochromis*', '*Ptyochromis*', and
588 '*Labrochromis*' but cannot unequivocally be assigned to any of these genera *sensu* Greenwood
589 (1980). It shares with the former two a short and deep lower jaw, thickened lips, and stout unicuspid
590 oral teeth (Fig. 2a), while it deviates from both by the straight vs. procumbent implantation of the
591 anterior outer teeth in the lower jaw, and the molariform vs. stout pharyngeal teeth. In *H. concilians* sp.
592 nov., the anterior part of the lower jaw curves slightly downwards, as found in a '*Ptyochromis*' but not
593 in a '*Paralabidochromis*' morphology. A '*Labrochromis*' morphology is characterised by hypertrophied

594 pharyngeal jaws with molariform teeth. These are also present in *H. concilians* sp. nov., but the
595 specialised oral dentition is very different from the generalised dentition in '*Labrochromis*'. Unique to
596 *H. concilians* sp. nov. are the tissue of the snout that is usually merged with the upper lip (in ca. 80%
597 of specimens) and the anterior teeth in the lower jaw that are implanted distinctly buccally, hereby
598 leaving the anteriormost part of the jaw edentulous. These morphological traits are unknown for any
599 other species within the LVRS (Barel *et al.*, 1977; Greenwood, 1980; Seehausen, 1996).

600

601 The trophic morphology of *H. erutus* sp. nov. is different from all other Lake Edward species but
602 strongly resembles one other species within the LVRS, *H. bicolor* from Lake Victoria. Both species
603 have a '*Macropheurodus*' morphology with a short upper jaw in which the dentigerous arms curve
604 laterally downwards. Both outer and inner teeth are large and stout with recurved major cusps and
605 labially implanted minor cusps (Fig. 2b). In both species, a handedness is observed in the degree of
606 the development of the trophic morphology, i.e., the curvature of the upper jaw and the stoutness of
607 the teeth were larger in either the dextral or the sinistral side (Greenwood, 1956). While very similar in
608 their trophic morphology, *H. erutus* sp. nov. deviates from *H. bicolor* by a shallower supraoccipital
609 crest, much larger eyes [35.4–40.7 (38.1) vs. 21.4–32.0 (27.0) % HL], and the beige-silver vs. red
610 flanks of dominant males (a more elaborate diagnosis is presented in the species description of *H.*
611 *erutus* sp. nov.). In addition, *Chilotilapia rhoadesii* from Lake Malawi has a strikingly similar
612 morphology to *H. erutus* sp. nov. and *H. bicolor* (Greenwood, 1983). Since the Lake Malawi flock
613 evolved independently from the LVRS (Salzburger *et al.*, 2005, Meier *et al.*, 2017), this similarity forms
614 a clear example of convergent evolution.

615

616 *Haplochromis planus* sp. nov. more strongly resembles *H. retrodens* from Lake Victoria than any other
617 known species from the LVRS, including those of the Lake Edward system. Both species have a
618 '*Hoplotilapia*' morphology, which is characterised by flat, broad, and rectangular lower jaws with
619 inflated and nearly vertically orientated ascending arms (Fig. 2c) (Greenwood, 1956). The oral tooth
620 bands are U-shaped and broad over their whole lengths. The outer teeth are small, unicuspid, strongly
621 recurved, and have a procumbent implantation in the anterior part of the lower jaw and, in both jaws,;
622 the posteriormost inner and outer teeth are large and stout. However, both species differ from each

623 other by the larger eyes of *H. planus* sp. nov. [35.7–41.6 (38.2) vs. 23.8–29.6 (26.9) % HL] and the
624 scarlet to red vs. dark grey-green flanks of dominant males (a more elaborate diagnosis is presented
625 in the species description of *H. planus* sp. nov.).

626

627 We confirmed a molluscivorous diet for two of the three new species described. The stomach contents
628 of both *H. concilians* sp. nov. and *H. erutus* sp. nov. consisted mainly of gastropod remains. Primarily
629 opercula (2–5 mm), snail tissue, and small complete shells (1.5–4.0 mm) were found in the stomachs,
630 while shell fragments were absent. The opercula and snail tissue belonged to relatively large snails,
631 which were probably shelled by grabbing their soft bodies and tearing them out of their shells.
632 Nevertheless, we cannot exclude that these species used an oral or pharyngeal crushing technique.
633 Cichlids with similar morphologies from Lake Victoria were observed to use both techniques to prey on
634 snails (Greenwood, 1974; Katunzi, 1982; Sloomweg, 1987). The molluscivorous diets of *H. concilians*
635 sp. nov. and *H. erutus* sp. nov. are supplemented with various Arthropoda, Ostracoda, and
636 Hydrachnidia, in accordance to the opportunistic feeding habits of oral shellers from Lake Victoria
637 (Greenwood, 1956; Katunzi, 1982).

638

639 We could not confirm a molluscivorous diet for *Haplochromis planus* sp. nov. Large specimens of this
640 species (> 70 mm SL) show a specialised morphology with stout oral jaws that are set with stout teeth.
641 We were able to examine the gut of only one large specimen (82.0 mm SL), but this gut was nearly
642 empty. Meanwhile, small specimens (60.4–71.0 mm SL) were found to consume mainly Ostracoda
643 and/or Hydrachnidia. In aquaria, *H. retrodens* from Lake Victoria was observed to use its shovel-like
644 lower jaw to scoop up the substrate in search of prey (Greenwood, 1956). When molluscs were found,
645 they were crushed by the oral jaws. The similar trophic morphology of *H. planus* sp. nov. suggests that
646 small specimens of this species display a similar scooping behaviour to catch Hydrachnidia and
647 Ostracoda that live in the bottom debris (Gerber & Gabriel, 2002). However, the oral jaw apparatus of
648 small specimens are probably not strongly developed enough to crush molluscs. Greenwood (1956)
649 only observed gut contents of large specimens (75–144 mm SL) of *H. retrodens*, which were found to
650 consume mainly bivalves. Similar to this species, the specialised morphology of large specimens of *H.*
651 *planus* sp. nov. could be developed enough to display an oral crushing technique.

652

653 Although the oral shellers from Lakes Edward and Victoria have similar morphologies, they display
654 clear differences in eye size. All known species from the Lake Edward system have distinctly larger
655 eyes than any formally described oral shellers from Lake Victoria (35.2–41.6 vs. 21.4–33.4 % HL; Fig.
656 13) (Greenwood, 1956, 1957, 1959; Seegers, 2008). All comparisons include specimens with similar
657 standard lengths. Similarly, a difference in eye size was found between the lobed-lipped insectivores
658 *H. lobatus* Vranken *et al.* 2019b from Lake Edward and *H. chilotes* Boulenger 1911 from Lake Victoria
659 but a possible functional significance of this difference remains unclear (Vranken *et al.*, 2019b). For
660 oral shellers from Lake Victoria, behavioural experiments showed that they are highly dependent on
661 their eyesight for detecting snails and catching them using the oral shelling technique (Witte *et al.*,
662 2013). Prior to the recent increased eutrophication of Lake Victoria (Seehausen *et al.*, 1997), its
663 transparency was higher than Lake Edward (Levring & Fish, 1956). Oral shellers from Lake Edward
664 may have evolved larger eyes as an adaptation to these relatively low-light conditions. Possibly by
665 increasing the number of light sensitive cones in their eyes, which requires larger eyes (Van Der Meer
666 & Anker, 1984). Furthermore, the requirement of oral shellers to have an accurate visual perception
667 presumably explains why oral shellers prefer sand substrates, where the water is less murky, over
668 muddy substrates (Witte, 1980; Seehausen, 1996; Witte *et al.*, 2013), even though snails are more
669 abundant in the latter (Okedi, 1990). Hitherto, no specimens with an oral shelling morphology were
670 collected from the turbid Lake George. The visibility of its water may be too low or sand substrates too
671 scarce to provide suitable habitats for oral shellers.

672

673 In low-light conditions, species of oral shellers from Lake Victoria have a lower shelling efficiency,
674 while mollusc crushing is nearly unaffected (Witte *et al.*, 2013). *Haplochromis concilians* sp. nov. has a
675 morphology that may be more adapted to crushing molluscs than to shelling them. All bones in the oral
676 jaw apparatus are strongly build; the premaxillary pedicel is short and inclines at a steep angle of 90–
677 115° to the dentigerous arms, and the lower jaw is deep and short with the anteriormost stout outer
678 teeth located distinctly buccally. These traits have been linked to an increased biting force (Barel,
679 1983; Otten, 1983) and could allow *H. concilians* sp. nov. to crush larger molluscs using the oral
680 crushing technique. Presumably, the stout pharyngeal jaws with molariform teeth are adapted to crush
681 molluscs (Smits *et al.*, 1996), and the strongly thickened lips are adapted to the handling of gastropod

682 shells, prior to crushing them (Colombo *et al.*, 2013). We hypothesise that *H. concilians* sp. nov. uses
683 primarily oral and pharyngeal crushing techniques and to a lesser extend an oral shelling technique to
684 prey on molluscs.

685

686 All three newly described species from Lake Edward each resemble more closely species endemic to
687 Lake Victoria, than any other species within the Lake Edward system. Species of *Haplochromis* from
688 both systems are closely related. However, phylogenetic relationships between species remain largely
689 unknown (Greenwood, 1980; Salzburger *et al.*, 2005). Similar-looking species of *Haplochromis* from
690 the Lake Edward system and Lake Victoria might be more closely related to each other than to
691 species from the same lake (Greenwood, 1980). Another possibility is that the cichlids from both lakes
692 evolved independently and that these situations thus represent cases of convergent evolution. Various
693 intermediary scenarios are, however, also probable, given their presumed origin from a hybrid flock
694 (Meier *et al.*, 2017). Meier *et al.* (2017) found some support that the species from Lake Victoria form a
695 monophyletic lineage and that the assemblage from the Lake Edward system is paraphyletic.
696 Unfortunately, no oral shelling species were included in this study. Further research is necessary to
697 unravel the complex phylogeny of *Haplochromis* from the LVRS. Regardless of how they evolved, it
698 seems that the Lake Edward system has a rich cichlid community that is morphologically and
699 ecologically similar to that of Lake Victoria.

700

701

702 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

703 This research was conducted within the framework of the BELSPO (Belgian Science Policy) funded
704 BRAIN project “HIPE”: Human impacts on ecosystem health and resources of Lake Edward. The
705 fieldwork of MVS was supported by the FWO (Research Foundation – Flanders, V435116N) and the
706 King Leopold III Fund for Nature Exploration and Conservation. We thank E. Decru (RMCA), M.
707 Mulongaibalu (Université Officielle de Bukavu, DRC), and L. Wasswa (Ugandan Fisheries
708 Department) for their help in collecting specimens. We are grateful to A. Reygel (RMCA) for the
709 scientific drawings and to M. Parrent (RMCA) and O. Pauwels (KBINS) for curatorial services.

710

711 **REFERENCES**

- 712 Barel, C. D. N. (1983) Towards a constructional morphology of cichlid fishes (Teleostei,
713 Perciformes). *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **33**, 357–424.
- 714 Barel, C. D. N., Van Oijen, M. J. P., Witte, F. & Witte-Maas, E. L. M. (1977) An introduction to the
715 taxonomy and morphology of the haplochromine Cichlidae from Lake Victoria. *Netherlands Journal*
716 *of Zoology* **27**, 333–380.
- 717 Barel, C. D. N., Witte, F. & Van Oijen, M. J. P. (1976) The shape of the skeletal elements in the
718 head of a generalized Haplochromis species: *H. elegans* Trewavas 1933 (Pisces, Cichlidae).
719 *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **26**, 163–265.
- 720 Bezault, E., Mwaiko, S. & Seehausen, O. (2011) Population genomic tests of models of adaptive
721 radiation in Lake Victoria region cichlid fish. *Evolution* **65**, 3381–3397.
- 722 Boulenger, G. A. (1906) Descriptions of new fishes discovered by Mr. E. Degen in Lake Victoria.
723 *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History* **7**, 433–452.
- 724 Boulenger, G. A. (1908) Collezioni zoologiche fatte nell'Uganda dal dott. E. Bayon. On a small
725 collection of reptiles, batrachians, and fishes made by dr. E. Bayon in Uganda. *Annali del Museo*
726 *Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova* **44**, 5–7.
- 727 Brawand, D., Wagner, C. E., Li, Y. I., Malinsky, M., Keller, I., Fan, S., Simakov, O., Ng, A. Y., Lim,
728 Z. W., Bezault, E., *et al.* (2014) The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid fish.
729 *Nature* **513**, 375–381.
- 730 Colombo, M., Diepeveen, E. T., Muschick, M., Santos, M. E., Indermaur, A., Boileau, N.,
731 Barluenga, M. & Salzburger, W. (2013) The ecological and genetic basis of convergent thick-lipped
732 phenotypes in cichlid fishes. *Molecular Ecology* **22**, 670–684.
- 733 Gerber, A. & Gabriel, M. J. M. (2002) *Aquatic invertebrates of South African rivers*. Institute for
734 Water Quality Studies.
- 735 Greenwood, P. H. (1956) The monotypic genera of cichlid fishes in Lake Victoria. *Bulletin of the*
736 *British Museum (Natural History) Zoology* **3**, 295–333.
- 737 Greenwood, P. H. (1957) A revision of the Lake Victoria *Haplochromis* species (Pisces, Cichlidae),
738 part II. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology* **5**, 76–97.

- 739 Greenwood, P. H. (1967) A revision of the Lake Victoria *Haplochromis* species (Pisces, Cichlidae),
740 part VI. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology* **15**, 29–119.
- 741 Greenwood, P. H. (1973) A revision of the *Haplochromis* and related species (Pisces: Cichlidae)
742 from Lake George, Uganda. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology* **25**, 139–242.
- 743 Greenwood, P. H. (1974) The cichlid fishes of Lake Victoria, East Africa: the biology and evolution
744 of a species flock. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology Supplement*, 1–134.
- 745 Greenwood, P. H. (1979) Towards a phyletic classification of the 'genus' *Haplochromis* (Pisces,
746 Cichlidae) and related taxa. Part I. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology Series*
747 **35**, 265–322.
- 748 Greenwood, P. H. (1980) Towards a phyletic classification of the 'genus' *Haplochromis* (Pisces,
749 Cichlidae) and related taxa. Part II: the species from lakes Victoria, Nabugabo, Edward, George,
750 and Kivu. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology Series* **39**, 1–101.
- 751 Greenwood, P. H. (1983) On *Macropoerodus*, *Chilotilapia* (Teleostei, Cichlidae), and the
752 interrelationships of African cichlid species flocks. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)*
753 *Zoology* **45**, 209–231.
- 754 Greenwood, P. H. (1991) Speciation. In *Cichlid Fishes: behaviour, ecology and evolution*
755 (Keenleyside, M. H., ed), Fish & Fisheries Series, pp. 86–102 Springer Netherlands.
- 756 Greenwood, P. H. & Barel, C. D. N. (1978) A revision of the Lake Victoria *Haplochromis* species
757 (Pisces, Cichlidae), part VIII. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology* **33**, 141–192.
- 758 Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T. a. T. & Ryan, P. D. (2001) PAST: paleontological statistics software
759 package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **4**, 1–9.
- 760 Hilgendorf, F. M. (1888) Fische aus dem Victoria-Nyanza (Ukerewe-See), gesammelt von dem
761 verstorbenen Dr. G. A. Fischer. *Sitzungsber. Gesellschaft naturforschender Freunde Berlin* 75—79.
- 762 Hoogerhoud, R. J. C. (1986) The adverse effects of shell ingestion for molluscivorous cichlids, a
763 constructional morphological approach. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **37**, 277–300.
- 764 Hoogerhoud, R. J. C. C. (1984) A taxonomic reconsideration of the haplochromine genera
765 *Gaurochromis* Greenwood, 1980 and *Labrochromis* Regan, 1920 (Pisces, Cichlidae). *Netherlands*
766 *Journal of Zoology* **34**, 539–565.

- 767 Katunzi, E. F. B. (1982) Seasonal variation in the food of a molluscivorous cichlid *Haplochromis*
768 *sauvagei* Pfeffer 1896. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **33**, 337–341.
- 769 Levring, T. & Fish, G. R. (1956) The penetration of light in some tropical East African waters. *Oikos*
770 **7**, 98–109.
- 771 Maldarelli, C., Jain, R. K., Ivanov, I. B. & Ruckenstein, E. (1980) Stability of symmetric and
772 unsymmetric thin liquid films to short and long wavelength perturbations. *Journal of Colloid and*
773 *Interface Science* **78**, 118–143.
- 774 Van Der Meer, H. J. & Anker, G. C. (1984) Retinal resolving power and sensitivity of the photopic
775 system in seven haplochromine species (Teleostei, Cichlidae). *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **34**,
776 197–209.
- 777 Meier, J. I., Marques, D. A., Mwaiko, S., Wagner, C. E., Excoffier, L. & Seehausen, O. (2017)
778 Ancient hybridization fuels rapid cichlid fish adaptive radiations. *Nature Communications* **8**, 11.
- 779 van Oijen, M. J. P. (1991) A systematic revision of the piscivorous haplochromine Cichlidae
780 (Pisces: Teleostei) of Lake Victoria (East Africa). Part I. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* **272**, 1–95.
- 781 van Oijen, M. J. P. (1996) The generic classification of the haplochromine cichlids of Lake Victoria,
782 East Africa. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* **302**, 57–110.
- 783 Okedi, J.Y. (1990) Observations on the benthos of Murchison Bay, Lake Victoria, East Africa.
784 *African Journal of Ecology* **28**, 111–122.
- 785 Otten, E. (1983) The jaw mechanism during growth of a generalized *Haplochromis* species : *H.*
786 *elegans* Trewavas 1933 (Pisces, Cichlidae). *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **33**, 55–98.
- 787 Pellegrin, J. (1904) Diagnoses préliminaires de poissons nouveaux du Lac Victoria, recueillis par
788 M. Alluaud. *Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France* **29**, 184—186.
- 789 Poll, M. (1939) Poissons. In *Exploration du Parc National Albert Mission H. Damas (1935-1936)* p.
790 73 Bruxelles: Institut des Parcs Nationaux du Congo Belge.
- 791 Regan, C. T. (1922) The cichlid fishes of Lake Nyassa. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of*
792 *London* **1921**, 675–727.
- 793 Regan, C. T. & Trewavas, E. (1928) Four new cichlid fishes from Lake Victoria. *Annals and*

794 *Magazine of Natural History: Series 10* **2**, 224–226.

795 Rice, W. R. (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* **43**, 223–225.

796 Salzburger, W., Mack, T., Verheyen, E. & Meyer, A. (2005) Out of Tanganyika: genesis, explosive
797 speciation, key-innovations and phylogeography of the haplochromine cichlid fishes. *BMC*
798 *Evolutionary Biology* **5**.

799 Seegers, L. (2008) The fishes collected by G. A. Fischer in East Africa in 1883 and 1885/86.
800 *Zoosystematics and Evolution* **84**, 149–195.

801 Seehausen, O., van Alphen, J. J. M. & Witte, F. (1997) Cichlid fish diversity threatened by
802 eutrophication that curbs sexual selection. *Science* **277**, 1808–1811.

803 Sloomweg, R. (1987) Prey selection by molluscivorous cichlids foraging on a schistosomiasis vector
804 snail, *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Oecologia* **74**, 193–202.

805 Smits, J.D., Witte, F. & Povel, G. D. E., (1996). Differences between inter- and intraspecific
806 architectonic adaptations for pharyngeal mollusc crushing in cichlid fishes. *Biological Journal of the*
807 *Linnean Society* **59**, 367–387.

808 Snoeks, J. (1994) *The haplochromine fishes (Teleostei, Cichlidae) of Lake Kivu, East Africa: a*
809 *taxonomic revision with notes on their ecology*. Tervuren, Belgium: Royal Museum for Central
810 Africa.

811 Trewavas, E. (1933) Scientific results of the Cambridge expedition to the East African lakes,
812 1930-1. The cichlid fishes. *Journal of the Linnean Society of London, Zoology* **38**, 309–341.

813 Verheyen, E., Salzburger, W., Snoeks, J. & Meyer, A. (2003) Origin of the superflock of cichlid
814 fishes from Lake Victoria, East Africa. *Science* **300**, 325–329.

815 Vranken, N., Van Steenberge, M. & Snoeks, J. (2019a) Grasping ecological opportunities: not one
816 but five paedophagous species of *Haplochromis* (Teleostei: Cichlidae) in the Lake Edward system.
817 *Hydrobiologia* **832**, 105–134.

818 Vranken, N., Van Steenberge, M., Kayenbergh, A. & Snoeks, J. (2019b) The lobed-lipped species
819 of *Haplochromis* (Teleostei, Cichlidae) from Lake Edward, two instead of one. *Journal of Great*
820 *Lakes Research*. doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2019.05.005

- 821 Witte, F. (1980) Initial results of the ecological survey of the haplochromine cichlid fishes from the
822 Mwanza Gulf of Lake Victoria (Tanzania): breeding patterns, trophic and species distribution with
823 recommendations for commercial trawl-fishery. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **31**, 175–202.
- 824 Witte, F. & Van Oijen, M. J. P. (1990) Taxonomy, ecology and fishery of Lake Victoria
825 haplochromine trophic groups. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* **262**, 1–47.
- 826 Witte, F., Seehausen, O., Wanink, J. H., Kische-Machumu, M. A., Rensing, M. & Goldschmidt, T.
827 (2013) Cichlid species diversity in naturally and anthropogenically turbid habitats of Lake Victoria,
828 East Africa. *Aquatic Sciences* **75**, 169–183.
- 829 de Zeeuw, M. P., Mietes, M., Niemantsverdriet, P., ter Huurne, S. & Witte, F. (2010) Seven new
830 species of detritivorous and phytoplanktivorous haplochromines from Lake Victoria. *Zoologische*
831 *Mededelingen Leiden* **84**, 201–250.
- 832 Zelditch, M. L., Swiderski, D. L., Sheets, H. D. & Fink, W. L. (2004) *Geometric morphometrics for*
833 *biologists: a primer*. London: Elsevier Academic Press.